THIN LIFT OVERLAY RESEARCH

Presented By:

Professor Walaa S. Mogawer, PE Professor of Civil & Environmental Engineering Director of the Pavement Research Institute of Southeastern Massachusetts (PRISM) University of Massachusetts Dartmouth



1st O fficial M eeting W arw ick, R hode Island D ecem ber 11th, 2007



CURRENT THIN LIFT PROJECTS

1. DESIGN OF HIGH PERCENTAGE RECYCLED ÅSPHALT PAVEMENT HMA WITH WARM MIX TECHNOLOGY FOR THIN MIX APPLICATIONS

2. TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND STUDY TPF-5(146)



PROJECT I:

DESIGN OF HIGH PERCENTAGE RECYCLED ÅSPHALT PAVEMENT HMA WITH WARM MIX TECHNOLOGY FOR THIN MIX ÅPPLICATIONS



PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Purpose of project was to develop thin lift overlay HMA mix designs to be used for preventive maintenance applications.

Mixes had a fine aggregate gradation.

Each mix design incorporated Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA) technology with high percentages of Recycled Asphalt Pavement (RAP).



PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- 1. Develop mixes using currently specified mix gradations for thin lift mixes (Superpave and MassHighway) and various Performance Grade (PG) binders used in the Northeast.
- 2. Develop mix designs incorporating WMA technology and varying percentages of RAP. Additionally develop a control mix without RAP.
- 3. Complete development of mix designs in accordance with the Superpave mix design method and the technical guidance provided in the NCHRP Report 452 <u>"Recommended Use of Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement in</u> <u>the Superpave Mix Design Method: Technician's</u> <u>Manual."</u>



PROJECT OBJECTIVES (CONT'D)

- 4. Evaluate the performance of the mixes in terms of Dynamic Modulus (E*) at varying temperatures and frequencies using the Simple Performance Test (SPT) device.
- 5. Develop mix Master Curves based on measured Dynamic Modulus (E*) data for each mix design.
- 6. Determine the Performance Grade (PG) grade of the extracted and recovered binder for each developed mix.



PROJECT OBJECTIVES (CONT'D)

- 8. Develop binder Master Curves for all the mixtures utilizing the binder modulus data (G*) obtained from the Dynamic Shear Rheometer (DSR) and Bending Beam Rheometer (BBR).
- 9. Evaluate the extent of co-mingling between the RAP binder and added virgin binder via mix and binder Master Curves.
- **10.** Evaluate the workability of each mix.



METHODOLOGY





MATERIALS - RAP

Fractionated 4.75 mm NMAS RAP based on extracted dry aggregate gradation.





MATERIALS - AGGREGATES

Virgin Aggregate Stockpiles:

9.5 mm Crushed Stone

Manufactured Sand

Natural Sand



MATERIALS - WMA TECHNOLOGY

Wax Additive WMA Technology (Sasobit®)

Added to Virgin Binder at Dosage Rate of 1.5% of Total Binder in Mix (RAP +Virgin)





MATERIALS - BINDERS

Virgin Binders: PG 64-28 PG 58-34 PG 52-33 PG52-33 (w/ 1.5 %latex)

Note: 1.5% latex added by weight of total binder in selected PG52-33 mixes only.



SUPERPAVE 4.75 MM GRADATION

Sieve		Denget			
Size	0%	15%	30%	50%	Target
12.5	100	100	100	100	100
9.50	99.2	98.9	99.0	99.0	95-100
4.75	91.9	90.2	90.8	91.2	90-100
2.36	66.3	67.8	67.5	68.5	
1.18	42.3	46.5	45.7	47.3	30-60
0.60	27.8	31.7	31.5	33.2	-
0.30	17.5	19.1	20.0	21.5	
0.150	10.3	10.7	12.0	13.3	-
0.075	7.3	6.9	8.0	8.6	6-12



MHD SURFACE TREATMENT GRADATION

Sieve		Toncet			
Size	0%	15%	30%	50%	Larget
12.5	100	100	100	100	100
9.50	99.8	99.9	99.9	99.9	100
4.75	96.5	96.8	97.0	97.2	80-100
2.36	78.1	78.9	78.4	77.1	64-85
1.18	58.5	59.7	58.9	56.7	46-68
0.60	40.2	41.5	41.4	40.4	26-50
0.30	21.0	22.2	23.4	24.6	13-31
0.150	9.2	10.3	12.0	14.2	7-17
0.075	4.5	5.1	6.7	8.8	3-8



MIXING AND COMPACTION TEMPERATURES

Determined from Rotational Viscometer data in accordance with AASHTO T316.

Mixing and compaction temperature range determined as outlined in Asphalt Institute Handbook *"Superpave Level 1 Mix Design."*

Asphalt Binder Type	Mixing Range	Compaction Range
PG 64-28 (1.5% Sasobit)	151-157 °C	141-145 °C
PG 58-34 (1.5% Sasobit)	148-154 °C	136-141 °C
PG 52-33 (1.5% Sasobit)	143-148 °C	132-137 °C
PG 52-33 (1.5% Sasobit & 1.5% Latex)	154-161 °C	141-147 °C





Pavement Research Institute of Southeastern Massachusett

RAP MIXING TEMPERATURE

RAP was heated to different mixing temperature.

RAP mixing temperature was 110°C (230 °F) as outlined in NCHRP Report 452 <u>"Recommended Use of</u> <u>Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement in the Superpave Mix</u> <u>Design Method: Technician's Manual."</u>



Volumetrics - PG52-33

	Su	perpav	Superpave Specification Target		
RAP Added, %	0%	15%	30%	50%	
AC (oven), %	6.7	6.6	6.4	6.0	
Added AC, %	6.8	5.5	4.0	2.0	
Air Voids, %	4.0	3.7	3.2	2.7	4.0
VMA, %	18.8	16.5	16.0	15.4	16-18
VFA, %	78.7	77.8	80.2	82.6	65-78
Dust to Binder Ratio	1.17	1.27	1.59	1.60	0.9-2.0



VOLUMETRICS - PG52-33

(CONT'D)

	MHD	Surface	ment	MassHighway Specification Target	
RAP Added, %	0%	15%	30%	50%	
AC (oven), %	7.8	7.6	6.9	6.0	7.0-8.0
Added AC, %	8.0	6.5	4.5	2.0	
Air Voids, %	6.1	5.5	5.3	4.9	
VMA, %	22.6	21.1	19.4	18.1	
VFA, %	73.1	74.1	72.7	72.8	
Dust to Binder Ratio	0.61	0.74	1.09	1.53	



VOLUMETRICS - PG52-33 WITH LATEX

	Superpave 4.75 mm 1.5% Latex	Superpave Specification Target
RAP Added, %	30%	
AC (oven), %	6.3	
Added AC, %	3.7	
Air Voids, %	4.4	4
VMA, %	17.5	16-18
VFA, %	74.9	65-78
Dust to Binder Ratio	1.55	0.9-2.0



Volumetrics - PG64-28

	Su	iperpav	re 4.75 n	Superpave Specification Target	
RAP Added, %	0%	15%	30%	50%	
AC (oven), %	7.0	7.2	6.8	6.4	
Added AC, %	7.0	5.8	4.3	2.3	
Air Voids, %	4.6	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0
VMA, %	19.4	19.0	17.7	16.5	16-18
VFA, %	76.3	78.7	77.4	75.0	65-78
Dust to Binder Ratio	1.15	1.07	1.36	1.63	0.9-2.0



Volumetrics - PG64-28 (cont'd)

	MHD	Surface	nent	MassHighway Specification Target	
RAP Added, %	0%	15%	30%	50%	
AC (oven), %	8.0	7.8	7.0	6.3	7.0-8.0
Added AC, %	8.0	6.5	4.5	2.0	
Air Voids, %	5.5	6.0	5.7	5.6	
VMA, %	22.1	21.9	19.9	17.8	
VFA, %	75.4	72.6	71.4	68.5	
Dust to Binder Ratio	0.60	0.71	1.06	1.64	



VOLUMETRICS - PG58-34

	Su	ıperpav	Superpave Specification Target		
RAP Added, %	0%	15%	30%	50%	
AC (oven), %	6.7	6.6	6.4	6.0	
Added AC, %	6.8	5.5	4.0	2.0	
Air Voids, %	4.1	3.6	3.8	3.8	4.0
VMA, %	19.0	17.6	17.0	15.9	16-18
VFA, %	78.5	80.5	77.9	76.1	65-78
Dust to Binder Ratio	1.16	1.15	1.44	1.69	0.9-2.0



Volumetrics - PG58-34 (cont'd)

	MHD	Surfac	MassHighway Specification Target		
RAP Added, %	0%	15%	30%	50%	
AC (oven), %	7.8	7.6	6.9	6.0	7.0-8.0
Added AC, %	8	6.5	4.5	2.0	
Air Voids, %	5.4	6.3	5.9	5.6	
VMA, %	21.9	21.6	19.8	17.8	
VFA, %	75.2	71.0	70.1	68.4	
Dust to Binder Ratio	0.62	0.62	1.10	1.67	



COMPARISON OF RAP MIXES TO CONTROL



BINDER EXTRACTION & RECOVERY

Extractions performed in accordance with AASHTO T319 (Rotovap).





BINDER EXTRACTION & RECOVERY (CONT'D)

Preliminary Test Results: RAP binder has a grade of PG94-XX.

Future Extraction, Recovery and PG Grading Tests: Perform replicate testing on RAP stockpile.

Perform testing on each mix developed (control and varying percentages of RAP).



DYNAMIC MODULUS (E*) TESTING

Temperature	Frequency
4°C	10 Hz, 1Hz, 0.1Hz
20°C	10 Hz, 1Hz, 0.1Hz
40°C	10 Hz, 1Hz, 0.1Hz, 0.01Hz





MIX MASTER CURVE DEVELOPMENT

Dynamic Modulus (E*) data measured in the Simple Performance Test (SPT) device utilized to develop mix Master Curve.

Master Curve provides and indication of the performance of each mix at different temperatures and loading frequencies.

Comparison of mix Master Curves provides a measure to evaluate the performance of one mix versus another.





Research Institute of Southeastern Massacl

MASTER CURVE PG64-28 MASSHIGHWAY MIXES 10000.00 4 C MHD 0%RAP 20 C MHD 0%RAP Dynamic Modulus (E*), ksi 40 C MHD 0%RAP MHD0%RAP Fitted Model 1000.00 0 4 C MHD 15%RAP 20 C MHD 15%RAP 0 O 40 C MHD 15%RAP -MHD15%RAP Fitted Model 100.00 - 4 C MHD 30%RAP 20 C MHD 30%RAP 40 C MHD 30%RAP MHD30%RAP Fitted Model ▲ 4 C MHD 50%RAP 10.00 20 C MHD 50%RAP ▲ 40 C MHD 50%RAP MHD50%RAP Fitted Model 1.00 1.0E-06 1.0E-04 1.0E-02 1.0E+00 1.0E+02 1.0E+04 1.0E+06 **Reduced Frequency, Hz**

Research Institute of Southeastern Massach

MASTER CURVE COMPARISON SUPERPAVE WITH 30% RAP



BINDER MASTER CURVE TESTING

<u>Complex Shear Modulus (G*) testing of</u> <u>extracted binder from mixes:</u>

DSR testing at low and intermediate temperatures and at varying frequencies.

BBR testing at low temperatures and at varying frequencies.





BINDER MASTER CURVE DEVELOPMENT

Separate binder Master Curves developed from:

- 1. G* data from extracted binder testing.
- 2. G* back calculated using Hirsch model from E* data.

Comparison of these two Master Curves gives an indication of the extent of co-mingling of the binder in the RAP and the virgin binder.



BINDER MASTER CURVE EXAMPLE OF GOOD CO-MINGLING



From Advanced Asphalt Testing LLC, "Evaluation of Hot-Mix Asphalt Mixture Containing Recycled or Waste Product Materials Using Performance Testing" (2005)



BINDER MASTER CURVE EXAMPLE OF POOR CO-MINGLING



From Advanced Asphalt Testing LLC, "Evaluation of Hot-Mix Asphalt Mixture Containing Recycled or Waste Product Materials Using Performance Testing" (2005)



WORKABILITY

Good workability is significant to these mixes due to the high stiffness of the binder in the RAP and the amount of RAP being used in each mix.

Workability evaluation of each mix will be conducted using prototype device designed and built by UMass Dartmouth. This device is being patented.

Workability prototype is complete. Data for these RAP mixes is expected to be collected and compiled in 2008.



CONCLUSIONS

Thin lift mixes with up to 50% RAP were developed using typical binders used in the Northeast.

Volumetric testing showed that some of the mixes with RAP met all volumetric criteria. However, other mixes tested showed small variations in the VMA and VFA outside the acceptable range suggested by Superpave.



CONCLUSIONS (CONT'D)

Preliminary Master Curves developed suggested that the Superpave 4.75 mm mixes with PG64-28 and RAP might perform as well as the control mix without RAP at high, intermediate, and low temperatures. Further testing needs to be conducted to verify that this applies to all mixes, RAP contents and binder types.



PROJECT II:

TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND STUDY TPF-5(146)

Evaluation of Modified Performance Grade Binders in Thin Lift Maintenance Mixes, Surface Mix, and a Reflective Crack Relief Layer Mix.



PARTICIPATING STATES



Massachusetts



New York



New Hampshire



Connecticut



The New Jersey Department of Transportation

New Jersey

Rhode Island



PROJECT OBJECTIVES

Develop thin lift HMA mixes for maintenance and rehabilitation purposes using different modified binders typically specified in the Northeast.

Develop a Reflective Crack Relief Layer (RCRL) mix.

Evaluate the performance of these mixes.



METHODOLOGY

<u>Modified Binders</u> 5 Different Types

Binder Testing Performance Grade Elastic Recovery Multi-Stress Creep Recovery

<u>Mix Designs</u> 4.75 mm Superpave 9.5 mm Superpave RCRL

Literature Review

<u>Aggregates</u> Gravel Source Crushed Source

Mix Performance Testing Dynamic Modulus (E*) Accelerated Pavement Testing



LITERATURE REVIEW

Review development of maintenance and rehabilitation mixes prepared with polymer modified binders using Superpave design methodology.

Review differences between different types of polymer modifiers.

Conduct survey to quantify State DOT experiences with using PMA in thin lift maintenance or rehabilitation mixes.

Review of design and test methods for PMA mixes as well as methods for placement.



SURVEY



Preventive Maintenance Strategies: Thin Lift HMA Overlays, Surface Treatments, and Modification

Description and Instructions: This survey is an attempt to better understand the current state of practice with regards to thin lift HMA overlay maintenance mixes and surface treatments. Also, the survey will give comprehension to current methodologies of industry professionals when selecting a preventive maintenance treatment and when to apply it. Please answer the following questions to the best of your ability. If you are unsure of any question leave it blank and continue to the next question. Thank you for your time.

Your Contact Information

Please enter your contact information below. Your name, title and organization are required; your state, email and phone are optional.
Name:
Title:
Organization:
State/Region:
Email:
Phone Number:

Section 1: Preventive Maintenance Strategies

1. Does your agency have a Preventive Maintenance strategy in place? (Please describe)

2. How does your agency decide on whether to use surface treatments or thin lift HMA overlays? (Please describe)

Internet (web) based survey.

Attempted to solicit responses from over 100 Federal, State, and select Local agency representatives.



Does your agency use or specify any thin lift HMA overlay maintenance mixes?



What distresses does your agency hope to resolve using thin lift HMA overlay mixes?



What types of thin mixes does your agency use?



Pavement Research Institute of Southeastern Massachusetts

What polymers does your agency use for thin lift HMA overlays?



BINDERS

- 1. PG 64-28 without modification (Control)
- 2. PG 64-28 Poly-Phosphoric Acid (PPA) Modified
- 3. PG 64-28 SBR (Styrene-Butadiene Rubber) Latex Modified
- 4. PG 76-22 SBS (Styrene-Butadiene-Styrene) Modified
- 5. PG 76-34 Chemically Modified Crumb Rubber
- 6. PG 64-34 SBS (Styrene-Butadiene-Styrene) Modified



AGGREGATES

<u>Crushed Stone Source – Wrentham, Massachusetts</u></u>

9.5mm Crushed Stone Natural Sand Dust Washed Sand Fines

<u>Gravel Stone Source – Farmington, New Hampshire</u>

9.5mm Gravel Stone Washed Sand Dust Grits Fines



Mix Designs									
	PG64-28 Neat	PG 64-28 PPA	PG64-28 SBR (Latex)	PG 76-22 SBS	PG 76-34 CMCR	PG 64-34 SBS			
SP 4.75 mm – Crushed Stone Source		-		✓	-	✓			
SP 9.5 mm – Gravel Stone Source	✓	✓		✓		✓			
SP 9.5 mm – Crushed Stone Source					-				
SP 9.5 mm – Gravel Stone Source		~	1	-	-	✓			
RCRL				-					

SP = **Superpave RCRL** = **Reflective Crack Relief Layer**



BINDER TESTING

Verification of Performance Grade (PG) of each binder in accordance with AASHTO and Superpave specifications.

Determine elastic recovery of each binder per AASHTO T301.

Perform Multi-Stress Creep Recovery Test (MSCRT) on each binder.



PERFORMANCE TESTING

Thin Lift Mixes:

Dynamic Modulus (E*) Accelerated Pavement Testing Beam Fatigue

<u>RCRL</u>: Accelerated Pavement Testing Beam Fatigue



DYNAMIC MODULUS TESTING

Temperature	Frequency
4°C	10 Hz, 1Hz, 0.1Hz
20°C	10 Hz, 1Hz, 0.1Hz
40°C	10 Hz, 1Hz, 0.1Hz, 0.01Hz



Simple Performance Test Device



Accelerated Pavement Testing









Model Mobile Load Simulator (MMLS3)



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Matt Turo - MassHighway

Dr. Ramon Bonaquist – Advanced Asphalt Technologies, LLC.

Mr. Frank Fee – Citgo

Mr. Michael Worden - SemMaterials

Mr. Dave Duncan – Pike Industries

Mr. Mike Nichols – Aggregate Industries

Larry Andrews – PJ Keating

Mr. Paul Montenegro – Black Mountain Road Work Protocols

Mr. Alexander Austerman – Research Engineer at PRISM

Mr. Bryan Engstrom – Graduate Student UMass Dartmouth



THANK YOU!

