NCHRP 20-68A US Domestic Scan Program Scan 07-05

### Best Practices in Bridge Management Decision-Making

### **Overview of Scan Results**

Midwest Bridge Preservation Partnership October 2010

### Peter Weykamp

Bridge Maintenance Program Engineer New York Department of Transportation

## <u>Purpose</u>

**Discover** and collect information on how DOTs manage maintenance of highway bridges and how maintenance impacts the overall bridge program

**Focus** on decision processes for maintenance programs;

How Do Decisions Rely On:

- Bridge Conditions
- Maintenance Needs
- Effectiveness of Maintenance
- Funding Availability











## **Bridge Management Process**

# **Preventive Maintenance**

**Agency Support** 



## **Bridge Management**

Maintenance Needs
Prioritization
Performance Measures
Verification





Identified at the element level

Uniform, specific, and repeatable

Stated as standard work actions

Accessible throughout the agency





## **Element Level**

### **TYPES**

- Modified NBI
- Commonly Recognized (CoRe) Bridge Elements
- Own system

### <u>SUPPORTS</u>

- Detailed reports
- Maintenance decisions
- Treatment options
- Early intervention
- Minimize repair costs

## **NBI CONDITION ASSESSMENT**

\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CONDITION \* CODE (58) DECK 4 (59) SUPERSTRUCTURE 5 (60) SUBSTRUCTURE 6 (61) CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION 8 (62) CULVERTS N

# Uniform, Specific, &

# Repeatable

### **METHODS**

- Inspectors recommend action
- Drop-down menu
- Actions prioritized
- Costs per action
- Stored in database
- Draft work order

Num.	MAP Activity						
4B1	Movable & Floating Bridge Operations						
9B2	Disaster Operations						
6B1	Traffic Signal System Operations						
5B1	Snow & Ice Control Operations						
4B2	Keller Ferry Operations						
4B3	Urban Tunnel Systems Operations						
4A2	Structural Bridge Repair						
6A4	Regulatory/Warning Sign Maintenance						
2A5	Slope Repairs						
6B3	Intelligent Transportation Systems(ITS)						
2A3	Maintain Catch Basins & Inlets						
1A1	Pavement Patching & Repair						
4A1	Bridge Deck Repair						
6A7	Guardrail Maintenance*						
6A1	Pavement Striping Maintenance						
6A2	Raised/Depressed Pavement Markers						
3A4	Control of Vegetation Obstructions						
7B1	Rest Area Operations						
1A4	Sweeping and Cleaning						
2A1	Maintain Ditches						
6B2	Highway Lighting Systems						
6A6	Guidepost Maintenance						
1B1	Safety Patrol						
2A2	Maintain Culverts						
6B4	Permits/Franchises						
6A3	Pavement Marking maintenance						
3A2	Noxious Weed Control						
1A3	Shoulder Maintenance						
6A5	Guide Sign Maintenance						
2A4	Maintain Detention/Retention Basins						
4A3	Bridge Cleaning & painting						
3A3	Nuisance Vegetation Control						
3A5	Landscape Maintenance						
3A1	Litter Pickup						

## **Corporate Database**

### OREGON



## **Needs Database**

**NEW YORK** 



# **Tracking Backlogs**

### CALTRANS

### **Bridge Maintenance Contract Funding and Backlog**



Integrate objectives for deficiencies, preventive maintenance, network performance, and risk

Engage both central and regional DOT

Advance from network-level rankings to selection of specific projects



# **Prioritization Formulas**

# Sufficiency Rating (NBI)

Structural Adequacy and Safety (55% maximum); Serviceability and Functional Obsolescence (30% maximum); Essentiality for Public Use (15% maximum); Special Reductions

# Health Index (Pontis)

Health Index (HI) = ( $\sum CEV \div \sum TEV$ ) × 100 TEV = Total element quantity × Failure cost of element (FC) CEV = ( $\sum [Quantity in condition state i × WF(i)]$ ) × FC



Health 80-89



Health 70-79



Health below 70

# **Deficiency Formula**





## MAP

### WASHINGTON

# MAP = Maintenance Accountability Program Priorities listed by Activity

Num.	MAP Activity					
4B1	Movable & Floating Bridge Operations					
9B2	Disaster Operations					
6B1	Traffic Signal System Operations					
5B1	Snow & Ice Control Operations					
4B2	Keller Ferry Operations					
4B3	Urban Tunnel Systems Operations					
4A2	Structural Bridge Repair					
6A4	Regulatory/Warning Sign Maintenance					
2A5	Slope Repairs					
6B3	Intelligent Transportation Systems(ITS)					
2A3	Maintain Catch Basins & Inlets					
1A1	Pavement Patching & Repair					
4A1	Bridge Deck Repair					
6A7	Guardrail Maintenance*					
6A1	Pavement Striping Maintenance					
6A2	Raised/Depressed Pavement Markers					
3A4	Control of Vegetation Obstructions					

7B1	Rest Area Operations
1A4	Sweeping and Cleaning
2A1	Maintain Ditches
6B2	Highway Lighting Systems
6A6	Guidepost Maintenance
1B1	Safety Patrol
2A2	Maintain Culverts
6B4	Permits/Franchises
6A3	Pavement Marking maintenance
3A2	Noxious Weed Control
1A3	Shoulder Maintenance
6A5	Guide Sign Maintenance
2A4	Maintain Detention/Retention Basins
4A3	Bridge Cleaning & painting
3A3	Nuisance Vegetation Control
3A5	Landscape Maintenance
3A1	Litter Pickup

### **How Does Maintenance Measure Performance?**



WASHINGTON

### 4A2 Structural Bridge Repair

Bridge inspections result in the "to-do list" of smaller-scale structural repairs for the Maintenance Program to complete. Examples of these repairs include:

Bridge Cap Repair Bridge Column Repair Debris Removal Scour Repair Expansion Joint Repair

2007-09 M Program Budget: \$9.2 million

## 4A2 Structural Bridge Repair Performance Measurement

The performance measurement for this activity focuses on Priority 1 repairs. A list of all repairs for maintenance to complete is compiled each year. The list is identified by either:

the formal bridge inspection process, or maintenance personnel during daily work activities.

The Level of Service is based on the percentage of Priority 1 repairs completed.



This activity is currently funded at \$9.2 million for the 2007-09 biennium.

- Level of Service target is a C

-2008 Level of Service delivered is a D

The 2009-11 proposed budget includes an additional \$1.5 million to catch up with this maintenance backlog and achieve the target.

## What is LOS?

A simple scale that rates the outcomes of maintenance activities.

### **Pavement Patching & Repair**

### **Service Level A**

### Service Level B





### Service Level C







**Performance Measures** 

Match objectives in bridge maintenance

# Identify work to advance maintenance objectives

Provide simple indications of status of bridge networks



## **Bridge Condition Ratings**

**MICHIGAN** 



# Service Life Extension

### **NEW YORK**



# Feasible Action Review Committee

Goal: 100% of Priority 1 and 2 WOs completed on time 90% of all work orders completed on time

Over the last year 7476 of 7492 (99.8%) work orders were completed on time with no delinquent priority 1s and 2s

Priority 1 Emergency 60 days to complete, paperwork may follow corrective action Priority 2 Urgent 180 days to complete Priority 3 Routine 365 days to complete Priority 4 Informational no deadline

# **Tracking Backlogs**

### CALTRANS

### **Bridge Maintenance Contract Funding and Backlog**



### **Deficient - Deck Area**

**NEW YORK** 

### **Statewide -- State Owned**



## **Verification**

### Strategy is effective Investment pays off

### Needs are met

Level of Service indicators Needs – Accomplishment = Gap

### Work completed

Report into BMS, MMS, Capital Program, ...





## CALTRANS '05 –'09 Bridge Preservation



# **Tracking Trends**

### MICHIGAN

Deterioration Rate Statewide Trunkline Bridges



# Significant part of program

Applied before bridges become deficient

Implements clear plans of action

Flexible allocation of resources



Washington

# **Bridge Deck Preservation Matrix** MICHIGAN

CONDITION STATE					POTENTIAL RESULT TO NBI				
Deck Surface NBI #58a	Deck Surface Deficiencies % (a)	Deck NBI #58	Deck Underside Deficiencies % (b)	REPAIR OPTIONS (c)	Item # 58a Deck Surface Rating	Item # 58 Deck Rating	NEXT ANTICIPATED EVALUATION		
N/A	N/A.	N/A	NA	CSM Activities	No Change (d)	No Change (d)	1 to 8 years		
NBI = 5, 6, 7	2% to 5%	2% to 5%	6, 2% to 5% NBI	NRI > 5	NIA	Deck Patch / Seal Cracks	Up by 1 pt	No Change (d)	3 to 10 years
				1468,654	.009	Epoxy Overlay	NBI now 8, 9	No Change	10 to 15 years
			NBI < 5	NIA	Deck Patch	Up by 1 pt	No Change	3 to 10 years	
		0.000	(222)	Hold	No Change	No Change	1 to 8 years		
NBI = 5	5% to 15%	202		Hold	No Change	No Change	t to 8 years		
		1		Deck Patch	Up by 1 pt	No Change	3 to 10 years		
NBI = 4 or S		NBI = 5,6	<10%	Deep Concrete Overlay	NBI now 8, 9	Up by 1 or 2 pts	25 to 30 years		
		NBI = 3 of 4	10% to 30%	Shallow Concrete Overlay	NBI now 8, 9	Up by 1 pt	10 to 15 years		
	NBI = 4 or 5	15% to 30%	NBI = 2 or 3	>30%	HMA Overlay with waterproofing membrane(e)	NBI now 6, 9	No Change	8 to 10 years	
NBI¤ ≤ 4	>30%	NBI≅≻5	<5%	Deep Concrete Overlay	NBI now 8, 9	Up by 1 or 2 pts	20 to 25 years		
		>30% NBL= 3, 4, or 5% to 30	597 to 9941	Shallow Concrete Overlay	NBI now 8, 9	Up by 1 pt	10 years		
			51610-3054	HMA Overlay with waterproofing membrane(e)	NBI now 8, 9	No Change	5 to 7 years		
		N01 = 2 = 2	53086	Replace Deck	NBI now 9	NBI now 9	40+ years		
		100.500.000	29908	HMA Cap (I)	NBI now 8, 9	No Change	1 to 3 years		

# **Cyclical Maintenance**

### VIRGINIA

Bridge Deck Washing (Concrete) – 1 Year Bridge Deck Sweeping – 1 Year Seats & Beam Ends Washing – 2 Years Cutting & Removing Vegetation - 2 Years Routine Maintenance of Timber Structures - 2 Years Replacement of Compression Seal Joints – 10 years Scheduled Replacement of Pourable Joints – 6 years Cleaning and Lubricating Bearing Devices – 4 years Scheduled Beam Ends Painting – 10 Years Installation of Thin Epoxy Concrete Overlay – 15 Years Removing Debris from Culverts – 5 Years







## **Agency Support**

**LEGISLATURE:** gas tax, dedicated fund, MPO percentage

**DOT Executives:** Maintenance is not a episodic. ODOT – "Fix it First"

**DOT Central:** Use quantitative performance measures, Recognize districts' first-hand knowledge

**District Engineers:** Evaluate needs and trends funds and projects

**Inspectors:** Identify needs, recommend actions

**Crews:** Execute work, take initiative

- 1. Require element-level inspection programs, and establish standard condition states, quantities, and recommended actions (maintenance, rehabilitation, replacement) to match the operational characteristics of the maintenance program of the agency
- 2. Establish national performance measures for all highway bridges for comparisons among bridge owners and owner-specific performance measures that can be used to allocate funding levels for a full range of actions to optimize bridge conditions

- 3. Use owner-specific performance measures to set overall funding levels for maintenance programs.
- 4. Determine bridge needs and treatment schedule based on owner-specific objectives, and utilize schedule to **develop needs-based funding mechanisms** (for the full range of recommended actions) that are consistent with network performance measures.

5. Establish standards, and require implementation by bridge owners, of preventive maintenance **programs** that are funded at levels set by analysis of performance measures. Programs must include the repair needs of 'cusp' bridges to keep them from becoming 'deficient' bridges. Experience in scan states has shown that preventive and minor maintenance must be a significant portion of bridge programs that optimize bridge conditions within limited budgets.

6. Develop work programs for maintenance that include the unit or crew level involvement (i.e. at the lowest level of management or supervision) when those positions are staffed by supervisors with extensive field maintenance experience. Avoid "blind" use of work programs from bridge management systems, and work programs dictated by goals to maximize performance measures (although both bridge management systems and performance measures provide useful information to maintenance crews).

# FINAL REPORT

- Google: NCHRP
   Domestic Scan
- Look for: 07-05 <u>Best</u> <u>Practices in Bridge</u> <u>Management</u> <u>Decision-Making</u>



seore Rest Dractices In R

#### Best Practices in Bridge Management Decision-Making

Reported by the Sector of Despatches Program Program

The Information computer in this report was property as just of 140000 Project its dist 0.10. Towards these, Project of Congestities Highway Research Program.

MINOLE, FICTO This report IS NOT an althout publication of the Publicat Corporation High any Research Program, Transportation Research Deart, Resident Empire's Council, or The Universit Andrewise.

### **THANK YOU**

ve, the bridge maintenance engineers YSDOT hold these truths to be selfevident: all joints leak, all concrete cracks, and rust never sleeps. We will strive to capitalize our way out of maintenance and maintain our way out of capital. It is our endeavor to educate others that a bridge is as important to a highway as a diamond is to a ring.